

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
C DOUGLASS THOMAS 1193 CAPRI DRIVE CAMPBELL CA 95008	LM21/0608 ¬	EXAMINER HECKLER, T ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2787 #3
		DATE MAILED: 06/08/98

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

t f

\$		Application No.	Applicant(a)			
		914,799	Applicant(s)	ppicant(s)		
Office Action Summary	ice Action Summary	Examiner Heckl	e indicate	Group Art Unit		
—The MA	AILING DATE of this communication appear	s on the cover sheet l	beneath the co	orrespondence add	ress	
Period for Respo	onse					
A SHORTENED S	STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE IS S OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	ET TO EXPIRE 34/10	ree) MONT	H(S) FROM THE		
from the mailing - If the period for - If NO period for	me may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.00 date of this communication. response specified above is less than thirty (30) days, response is specified above, such period shall, by defend within the set or extended period for response will, I	a response within the statu ault, expire SIX (6) MONTH	tory minimum of the Strom the mailing	hirty (30) days will be co g date of this communica	nsidered time	
Status				·		
☑ Responsive	to communication(s) filed on $8-18-9$	7		e.l		
				11		
☐ Since this a	pplication is in condition for allowance except with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935			the merits is close	d in	
Disposition of C	Plaims					
Claim(s) _	1-20	<u></u>	is/are	pending in the applic	ation.	
Of the above claim(s)				-		
□ Claim(s)				is/are allowed.		
	1-20					
				•	election	
• •			require			
☐ Claim(s)—						
☐ Claim(s)—	ers	Positions PTO 040				
☐ Claim(s)— Application Pap ☑ See the atta	ers ached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing		□ disapprove	nd.		
□ Claim(s)— Application Pap See the atta □ The propos	ers ached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing ed drawing correction, filed on	is 🗆 approved	☐ disapprove	d.		
☐ Claim(s)— Application Pap See the atta ☐ The propos ☐ The drawing	ers ached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing ed drawing correction, filed on is/are object	is 🗆 approved	☐ disapprove	d.		
☐ Claim(s)— Application Pap See the atta ☐ The propos ☐ The drawing ☐ The specific	ers ached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing ed drawing correction, filed on	is 🗆 approved	□ disapprove	d.		
☐ Claim(s)— Application Paper See the attance ☐ The propose ☐ The drawing ☐ The specifice ☐ The oath or	ers ached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing ed drawing correction, filed on	is 🗆 approved	□ disapprove	d.		
☐ Claim(s)— Application Pape See the atta ☐ The propos ☐ The drawing ☐ The specific ☐ The oath or Priority under 35	ers ached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing ed drawing correction, filed on is/are object cation is objected to by the Examiner.	is approved and to by the Examiner.	-(d).	d.		
☐ Claim(s)— Application Pap See the atta ☐ The propos ☐ The drawing ☐ The specific ☐ The oath or Priority under 3	ers ached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing ed drawing correction, filed on	is approved and to by the Examiner.	-(d).	d.		
Claim(s)— Application Pap See the atta The propos The drawing The specific The oath or Priority under 3: Acknowledg All S received	ers ached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing ed drawing correction, filed on	is approved and to by the Examiner. Index 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a) the priority documents here.	-(d). nave been			
Claim(s)— Application Pap See the atta The propos The drawing The specific The oath or Priority under 3: Acknowledg All S received	ers ached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing ed drawing correction, filed on	is approved and to by the Examiner. Index 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a) the priority documents here.	-(d). nave been			
Claim(s) Application Pap See the atta The propos The drawing The specific The oath or Priority under 3: Acknowledg All S received received	ers ached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing ed drawing correction, filed on	is approved and to by the Examiner. Index 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a) the priority documents be a priority documents be a priority document be a	-(d). nave been Rule 1 7.2(a)).	•		
Claim(s) Application Pap See the atta The propos The drawing The specific The oath or Priority under 3: Acknowledg All S received received received *Certified ca	ers ached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing ed drawing correction, filed on	is approved and to by the Examiner. Index 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a) the priority documents be a priority documents be a priority document be a	-(d). nave been Rule 1 7.2(a)).	•		
Claim(s)— Application Pap See the atta The propos The drawing The specific The oath or Priority under 3: Acknowledg All S received received *Certified co	ers ached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing ed drawing correction, filed on	is approved and to by the Examiner. Index 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a) the priority documents beromentational Bureau (PCT)	-(d). nave been Rule 1 7.2(a)).	·		
Claim(s)— Application Pap See the atta The propos The drawing The specific The oath or Priority under 3: Acknowleds All S received received received *Certified co	ached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing ed drawing correction, filed on	is approved and to by the Examiner. Index 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a) the priority documents be a priority document of the prior	r-(d). nave been Rule 1 7.2(a)). Interview Sumi	·	n, PTO-152	

A.

Page 2

Serial Number: 08/914,299

Art Unit: 2787

1. Claims 12-15, 18-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

These claims are rejected for reasons given in paragraph 1 of paper no. 4 in the parent application.

2. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co., 151 U.S. 186 (1894); In re Ockert, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

3. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-32 of prior U.S. Patent No. 5,752,011. This is a double patenting rejection.

Serial Number: 08/914,299 Page 3

Art Unit: 2787

4. This is a continuation of applicant's earlier Application No. 08/262,754. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the earlier application and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the earlier application.

Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL even though it is a first action in this case. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no, however, event will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Page 4

Serial Number: 08/914,299

Art Unit: 2787

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tom Heckler whose telephone number is (703) 305-9666.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

TH June 5, 1998

THOMAS M. HECKLER PRIMARY EXAMINER